

OPERATIONAL FORECASTING OF TROPICAL CYCLONES STORM SURGES AT METEO-FRANCE

PIERRE DANIEL¹; BRUNO HAIE²; XAVIER AUBAIL³

¹DPREVI/MAR, Météo-France
42 Av Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse Cedex, FRANCE
e-mail: pierre.daniel@meteo.fr

²Direction InterRégionale de la Réunion, Météo-France
B.P. 4, 97491 – Sainte Clotilde Cedex, Réunion
e-mail: bruno.haie@meteo.fr

³Direction InterRégionale de la Nouvelle Calédonie, Météo-France
1, rue Vincent Auriol, BP 151 – 98845 Nouméa Cedex, Nouvelle-Calédonie
e-mail: xavier.aubail@meteo.fr

A depth-averaged, numerical storm-surge model has been developed and configured to provide a stand-alone system to forecast tropical cyclone storm-surges. The primary data requirement for modelling storm surges is accurate surface wind and atmospheric pressure fields, in particular in the vicinity of maximum winds. These fields are inferred from an analytical-empirical cyclone model which require only cyclone position, intensity and size. The model has been adapted to run on a personal computer in a few minutes. The storm-surge model was tested in hindcast mode on tropical cyclones which gave significant surges over the French overseas territories over the period 1975-1990. The system has been operated since the 1990s in the French Antilles, New Caledonia, the French Polynesia and La Reunion. The model can be used in two different ways. In real-time mode as a tropical cyclone is approaching an island or in climatological mode: a cyclone climatology is used to prepare a data base of pre-computed surges.

Keywords: storm surge, tropical cyclone, operational model

1. Introduction

Strong winds, heavy rains and storm surges are the three dangerous effects of tropical cyclones. A storm surge is the elevation of water generated by strong wind-stress forcing and by a drop in the atmospheric pressure. Far from the coast, the surge is mainly controlled by the atmospheric pressure. This effect called the inverted barometer effect is the hydrostatic answer of the ocean. Close to the coast, dynamic effects become pronounced. Local bathymetry, shallow waters and coastline configuration amplify the surge height. The surge may reach several meters.

The use of numerical models for the prediction of tropical cyclones storm surges is a well-established technique, and form the basis of operational prediction systems such as the SLOSH model (Jelesnianski et al.¹) over the United States coast, the BMRC storm surge model (Hubbert et al.²) over the Australian coast, the IIT model (Dube et al.³) in the Bay of Bengal and the Arabian Sea, the JMA storm surge model (Konishi⁴) over the Japanese coast.

The advent of powerful computers has opened up the possibility of direct use of dynamical models in operational centres. The second WMO International Workshop on Tropical Cyclones⁵ has recommended stand-alone systems to forecast tropical cyclone storm surges. Such a system was developed for the French tropical overseas territories. It has been operated since the 1990s in the Caribbean (Guadeloupe, Martinique, San Marteen and Saint

Barthelemy), in the French Polynesia, in New Caledonia, in La Réunion and Mayotte. A brief description of the model and of the numerical solution is given in the next section, then the input (bathymetry and atmospheric forcing) is described and validation experiments are analysed. Then two possible ways to use the software are presented.

2. The storm surge model

2.1 Equations

A depth-integrated model has been adopted for the surge prediction. The model is driven by wind stress and atmospheric pressure gradients. It solves the non-linear shallow-water equations written in spherical coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + q \cdot \nabla q + f \cdot k \Lambda q &= -g \cdot \nabla \eta - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P_a + \frac{1}{\rho \cdot H} (\tau_s - \tau_b) + A \cdot \nabla^2 q \\ \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \nabla(H \cdot q) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where q is the depth-integrated current, η is the sea surface elevation, H is the total water depth, f is the Coriolis parameter, P_a is the atmospheric surface pressure, τ_s is the surface wind stress, τ_b is the bottom frictional stress, ρ is the density of water, g is the gravitational acceleration and A is the horizontal diffusion coefficient (2000 m²/s).

The surface wind stress components are computed using the quadratic relationship:

$$\begin{cases} \tau_{sx} = \rho_a \cdot C_d \cdot |W_{10}| \cdot W_{10x} \\ \tau_{sy} = \rho_a \cdot C_d \cdot |W_{10}| \cdot W_{10y} \end{cases},$$

where W_{10x}, W_{10y} are the horizontal components of wind velocity 10 m above the sea surface, ρ_a is the saturated air density at 28 °C ($\rho_a = 1.15 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$) and C_d is the drag coefficient calculated by the Smith and Banke⁶ formulation.

The bottom stress is computed from the depth-integrated current using a quadratic relationship with a constant coefficient of 0.02 over coral reefs and 0.002 elsewhere.

2.2 Boundary conditions.

At coastal boundaries the normal component of velocity is zero. At open boundaries, the sea surface elevation is given by the inverted barometer effect ; a gravity wave radiation condition is used for the current. Tides can be modelled but are not included since the major forecasting requirement is for surge heights above local tides.

2.3 Numerical scheme.

The equations are integrated forward in time on an Arakawa C-grid using a split-explicit finite difference scheme. The integration is split into three different time step : $\Delta t, \Delta t_a, \Delta t_p$, with $\Delta t \leq \Delta t_a \leq \Delta t_p$. In the first step, called adjustment step, wave propagation and Coriolis terms are treated using a semi-implicit (forward-backward) method. Stability is

obtained with the Courant-Friedrichs-Levy (CFL) condition : $\Delta t < \frac{\Delta s}{\sqrt{2gH}}$, where Δs is the mesh size.

Advection terms are treated in the second step, $\Delta t_a = N_a \cdot \Delta t$. The two time levels Miller and Pearce method is used. This method alternate Euler scheme at odd time step and Matsuno scheme at even time step. The amplification factor is $\lambda = \sqrt{1 + p^6}$ with $p = q \frac{\Delta t_a}{\Delta s}$. This amplification factor is very close to unity.

The third step, $\Delta t_p = N_p \cdot \Delta t_a = N_p \cdot N_a \cdot \Delta t$, treats the forcing terms : wind stress, atmospheric pressure and bottom stress. A backward implicit scheme is used. It is stable for all values of Δt .

The following splitting parameters are used : $N_a=6$ or $N_a=8$ and $N_p=1$.

3. Bathymetry

The bathymetry has been hand extracted from nautical charts for more than 30 islands. The resolution depends on the complexity of the bathymetry, on the size of the island and on the availability of the bathymetric information. The grid mesh is fixed for each domain and varies from 150 m to 1850 m.

4. Atmospheric forcing

The primary data requirement for modelling storm surges is accurate surface wind and atmospheric pressure fields, in particular in the vicinity of maximum winds. These fields are inferred from the analytical-empirical model of Holland⁷. This approach has the advantage that the model can be used in a stand-alone mode.

The pressure field is derived following Holland⁷ as follows:

$$P = P_c + (P_n - P_c) \cdot e^{\left[-\left(\frac{r_m}{r}\right)^b\right]}$$

where P is the atmospheric pressure at radius r , P_c is the central pressure, P_n is the environmental pressure (usually taken as the pressure of the last closed isobar), r_m is the radius of maximum winds and b is the scaling on the profile shape.

$$b = \frac{\rho_a \cdot e \cdot v_m^2}{P_n - P_c}$$

where v_m is the maximum wind and ρ_a is the air density. The azimuthal wind component is estimated (Holland⁷) by:

$$v = -\frac{r \cdot f}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{b \cdot \left(\frac{r_m}{r}\right)^b (P_n - P_c) \cdot e^{\left[-\left(\frac{r_m}{r}\right)^b\right]}}{\rho_a} + \frac{r^2 \cdot f^2}{4}}$$

where f is the Coriolis parameter.

The radius of maximum winds r_m is calculated for each direction (north-east, south-east, south-west, north-west) in order to fit the wind profile to the wind speed radii (34 kt, 50 kt et 64 kt). In the case where the asymmetry is unknown, an asymmetry is included by adding the hurricane translation to the symmetric field and rotating the field so that the maximum wind is 70° to the right of the direction of hurricane motion in the northern hemisphere (to the left in the southern hemisphere) (Shapiro⁸). The radial wind field is constructed by rotating the flow to a constant inflow angle of 25° outside the radius of maximum winds (Shea and Gray⁹).

5. Validations

A description of validation experiments in the French Antilles can be found in Daniel¹⁰. Only the key features are given below. The model was tested for each island where observations were available for the last 15 years.

location	cyclone	observed elevation (cm)	calculated elevation (cm)
Pointe-à-Pitre	Hugo 1989	150*	148
Baie Mahault	Hugo 1989	250*	248
Saint François	Hugo 1989	150*	141
Pointe Fouillole	David 1979	37	25
Le Robert	Allen 1980	59	53
Pointe Fouillole	Marilyn 1995	40	34
Saint Martin	Luis 1995	200*	200
Nouméa	Delilah 1989	11	18
Nouméa	Lili 1989	8	26
Nouméa	Theodore 1994	22	22
Nouméa	Erica 2003	60	170
Papeete	Emma 1970	22	9
Papeete	Diana 1978	15	9
Papeete	Tahmar 1981	45	16
Papeete	Fran 1981	15	4
Papeete	Lisa 1982	20	14
Papeete	Orama 1983	30	14
Papeete	Reva 1983	22	19
Papeete	Veena 1983	30	30
Papeete	Ima 1986	18	13
Papeete	Wasa 1991	45	18
Rikitéa	Nano 1983	27	24
Rikitéa	William 1983	25	27
Rikitéa	Cliff 1992	18	18
Port de la Pointe des Galets	Hyacinthe 1980	36	32

Table 1: comparison between observed and modelled storm surges. * denotes estimated observations.

Model simulations were compared with visual observations or tide gage observations. The validation was not completed for all the island since tide gages are very sparse in the tropics. Along coastlines without coral reefs and in large lagoons such as Tuamotu atolls the model compares well with the observations. The storm surge forecast quality is closely related to the atmospheric forcing quality and so to the accuracy of the cyclone trajectory.

In a number of islands where the coral reef is close to the coastline, the contribution of the waves is important. The wave breaking over the reef contributes significantly to the lagoon filling (Pascal¹¹). In large atolls, this effect still exists but its contribution to the surge is lower.

The model operates since 1994 in the Caribbean. During 1994 and 1996, no significant surge events were recorded, but in 1995 the hurricane season was very active. Two hurricanes gave significant surges during that season. Hurricane Luis stroke the island of Saint Barthelemy and San Marteen in the beginning of September. 2 meters surges were observed and forecasted by the model, but no tide gage is available on these islands. Hurricane Marilyn produced a surge on Guadeloupe which was recorded by the Pointe Fouillole tide gage. The model showed a broad agreement with the observations (table 1). On islands with high relief and complicated topography, discrepancies were noted, due to the difficulty to have a good representation of the wind fields.

6 Two different ways to use the model

6.1 Real-time use

A typical procedure is as follows. The user provides hurricane positions, central pressures, and radii of winds at any time (typically every 3 h for 24 h). The user also is prompted to provide an arbitrary number of stations for time series display of surge heights. A temporal interpolation is made to provide hurricane parameters at each time step. The hurricane model and surge model are then run for the required forecast period (typically 24 h). The output is hourly forecast of surface winds and sea-level pressures fields (figure 1), hourly sea-levels (figure 2) and current fields (figure 3), maximum surge field (figure 4) and stations time series with a one minute resolution. A 24 hours forecast can be carried out on a workstation in a few minutes.




figure 1 : Tropical cyclone Nano over Hao atoll (French Polynesia): modelled wind and atmospheric pressure 25 January 1983 at 10 utc




figure 2 : Tropical cyclone Nano over Hao atoll (French Polynesia): modelled sea surface elevation (cm) 25 January 1983 at 10 utc




figure 3 : Tropical cyclone Nano over Hao atoll (French Polynesia): modelled currents (cm/s) 25 January 1983 at 10 utc.




figure 4 : Tropical cyclone Erica over Nouméa area (New Caledonia): modelled maximum surge in cm

6.2 Pre-computed storm surge data base

Tropical cyclone trajectory forecast accuracy is low : the average error for a 24 hours forecast is about 200 km. When a hurricane is crossing an island, a small error in the trajectory forecast gives a large error in the space distribution of the surge. Because of that, real-time tropical cyclone storm surge forecasts are critical. An alternate procedure is to prepare an atlas

of pre-computed surges based on a hurricane climatology. That work was completed in the Caribbean (Perret et al.^{12,13}). More than 1000 model runs were made for each island. A tropical cyclone climatology, which gives a broad view of the tropical cyclone types likely to affect the island, is used. Different impact points, intensity, size (provided by the radius of 34 kt winds), direction and speed were tested. The results are compiled in a data base. This data base is available for graphical display on a computer (figure 5). So that forecasters have an immediate access to the possible storm surges for a number of representative cases. Since each computer run gives an envelope of highest water around the island, it is simple to compile all the runs for an intensity class. The resulting risk map determine the highest possible surge along the coastline for a specified hurricane intensity.




Figure 5: Main screen of the Martinique storm surge data base and example of storm surge plotting for the specified location.

Similar work has been initiated for Mayotte island, Indian Ocean (Haie et Daniel¹⁴) with an estimation of the maximum surge level around the island (Figure 6).




Figure 6: example of maximum surge around Mayotte for 3 cyclone tracks

7. Conclusions

A numerical storm-surge model has been developed and configured to provide a stand-alone system to forecast tropical cyclone storm-surges. The model has been operated since the 1990s in the four French meteorological areas in the tropics : Antilles, French Polynesia, New Caledonia and Reunion.

The model can be used in two different ways. In real-time mode as a tropical cyclone is approaching an island or in climatological mode: a cyclone climatology is used to prepare a data base of pre-computed surges. Due to the low accuracy of tropical cyclone trajectory forecasts, the second mode seems to be, at present time, the best way to use the model. However, the improvement of atmospheric numerical models and the arrival of operational high resolution atmospheric models such as the Aladin Réunion Limited Area Model may change the situation.

A pre-computed storm surge data base and risk maps are available for the French Antilles and for Mayotte.

A spectral wave model has been adapted and validated for tropical cyclone wave predictions. The coupling between this wave model and the storm surge model would be an improvement, in particular with islands surrounded by a lagoon.

8. References

1. Jelesnianski C. P. and J. Chen and W.A. Shaffer, 1992: SLOSH: Sea, Lake and Overland Surges from Hurricanes. *NOAA Technical Report NWS 48, Dept. of Comm. NOAA*, 71 pp.
2. Hubbert G.D. & al., 1991. A real-time system for forecasting tropical cyclone storm surges. *Weather and forecasting. Vol.6* 86-97.

3. Dube S.K., Rao A.D., Sinha P.C. and Chittibabu P., 1994 : A real time storm surge prediction model for the east coast of India, *Proc. Ind. Nat. Sci. Acad.* 60, 157-170.
4. Konishi, T., 1995: An experimental storm surge prediction for the western part of the Inland Sea with application to Typhoon 9119, *Papers in Meteor. And Geophys.*, 46, 9–17.
5. WMO, 1990: Proc. WMO Second International Workshop on Tropical Cyclones (IWTC-II), WMO/TD No. 83, WMO, Geneva, Switzerland.
6. Smith S.D. and Banke E.G., 1975. Variation of the sea surface drag coefficient with wind speed. *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 101, 665-673.
7. Holland G.J., 1980. An analytical model of the wind and pressure profiles in hurricanes. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 108, 1212-1218.
8. Shapiro L. J., 1983. The asymmetric boundary layerflow under a translating hurricane. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 40, 1984-1998.
9. Shea D.J. and Gray W.M., 1973. The hurricanes'inner core region: symmetric and asymmetric structure. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 30, 1544-1564.
10. Daniel P., 1996. A real-time system for forecasting hurricane storm surges over the French Antilles, *Small Islands: Marine Science and Sustainable Development, Coastal and Estuarine Studies*, Vol. 51, AGU.
11. Pascal R., 1995. Montée des eaux dans les lagons polynésiens. *Metmar N° 166*, 12-16.
12. Perret G., L. Feuillatre et P. Frayssinet, 1996: Estimations du risque lié aux marées de tempête en Martinique, *Etudes et Développement n°1*, Météo-France, Direction Interrégionale Antilles-Guyane, 20 pp.
13. Perret G., L. Feuillatre et P. Frayssinet, 1996: Estimations du risque lié aux marées de tempête en Guadeloupe, *Etudes et Développement n°2*, Météo-France, Direction Interrégionale Antilles-Guyane, 20 pp.
14. Haie B. et P Daniel, 2003. Etude de la surcote cyclonique de l'Océan Indien sur le littoral de Mayotte. Météo-France, Direction InterRégionale de la réunion, 37 pp.